

2019 Data Report for

Birch Lake, Cass County

Site ID: 140187

41.8812°N, 85.8579°W

The CLMP is brought to you by:













About this report:

This report is a summary of the data that have been collected through the Cooperative Lakes Monitoring Program. The contents have been customized for your lake. The first page is a summary of the Trophic Status Indicators of your lake (Secchi Disk Transparency, Chlorophyll-a, Spring Total Phosphorus, and Summer Total Phosphorus). Where data are available, they have been summarized for the most recent field season, five years prior to the most recent field season, and since the first year your lake has been enrolled in the program.

If you did not take 8 or more Secchi disk measurements or 4 or more chlorophyll measurements, there will not be summary data calculated for these parameters. These numbers of measurements are required to ensure that the results are indicative of overall summer conditions.

If you enrolled in Dissolved Oxygen/Temperature, the summary page will have a graph of one of the profiles taken during the late summer (typically August or September). If your lake stratifies, we will use a graph showing the earliest time of stratification, because identifying the timing of this condition and the depth at which it occurs is typically the most important use of dissolved oxygen measurements.

The back of the summary page will be an explanation of the Trophic Status Index and where your lake fits on that scale.

The rest of the report will be aquatic plant summaries, Score the Shore results, and larger graphs, including all Dissolved Oxygen/Temperature Profiles that you recorded. For Secchi Disk, Chlorophyll, and Phosphorus parameters, you need to have two years of data for a graph to make logical sense. Therefore if this is the first year you have enrolled in the CLMP, you will not receive a graph for these parameters.

Remember that some lakes see a lot of fluctuation in these parameters from year to year. Until you have eight years worth of data, consider all trends to be preliminary.

To learn more about the CLMP monitoring parameters or get definitions to unknown terms, check out the CLMP Manual, found at: https://micorps.net/wp-content/uploads/sites/63/2019/06/CLMP-manual-2019update.pdf

Thank you!

The CLMP leadership team would like to thank you for all of your efforts over the past year. The CLMP would not exist without dedicated and hardworking volunteers!

The CLMP Leadership Team is made of: Marcy Knoll Wilmes, Jean Roth, Jo Latimore, Paul Steen, Mike Gallagher, Laura Kaminski, and Erick Elgin

Questions?

If you have questions on this report or believe that the tabulated data for your lake in this report are in error please contact:


Paul Steen (psteen@hrwc.org), MiCorps Program Manager

Birch Lake, Cass County 2019 CLMP Results




Secchi Disk Transparency (feet)

Year	# Readings	Min	Max	Average	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2019	13	5.0	22.0	15.7	5.1	37
2014-2018	65	6.0	50.0	15.7	7.0	38
2009-2013	69	7.0	36.0	15.9	5.2	37
2019 All CLMP Lakes	3392	1.5	50.0	12.8	5.8	42




Chlorophyll-a (parts per billion)

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Median	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2019	4	<1.0	3.2	1.0	1.3	<31
2014-2018	25	<1.0	3.7	2.2	1.0	38
2009-2013	22	<1.0	3.1	2.2	0.5	38
2019 All CLMP						
Lakes	635	< 1.0	42.0	2.2	3.4	39




Spring Phosphorus (parts per billion)

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Average	Std. Dev
2019	1	6.0	6.0	6.0	NA
2014-2018	5	<=3 W	10.0	6.6	3.0
2009-2013	3	<=3 W	8.0	5.0	2.6
2019 All CLMP Lakes	220	<= 3	100.0	14.9	11.0




Summer Phosphorus (parts per billion)

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Average	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2019	1	<5 T	<5 T	<5 T	NA	<27
2014-2018	5	<=3 W	9.0	6.2	2.8	29
2009-2013	5	<=3 W	18.0	8.2	6.1	31
2019 All CLMP						
Lakes	281	<= 3	65.0	12.8	9.3	38



Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Profile

9/5/2019



Summary

Average TSI	2019	2014-2018	2009-2013
Birch Lake	32	35	35
All CLMP Lakes	40	40	41

With an average TSI score of 32 based on 2019 Secchi transparency, chlorophyll-a, and summer total phosphorus data, this lake is rated as an oligotrophic lake.

The lake keeps some dissolved oxygen in the bottom waters through mid-summer, but by late summer the lake has stratified and the bottom water is devoid of oxygen.

Long term trends indicate that the trophic status parameters have not changed beyond minor year-to year variation since monitoring began.

^{* =} No sample received W= Value is less than the detection limit (<3 ppb) T= Value reported is less than the reporting limit (5 ppb).

<1.0 = Chlorophyll-a: Sample value is less than limit of quantification (<1 ppb).

Trophic Status Index Explained

In 1977, limnologist Dr. Robert Carlson developed a numerical scale (0-100) where the numbers indicate the level of nutrient enrichment. Using the proper equations, we can convert results from Summer Total Phosphorus, Secchi Depth, and Chlorophyll-a to this Trophic Status Index (TSI). The TSI numbers are furthermore grouped into general categories (oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic), to quickly give us a way to understand the general nutrient level of any lake.

The tables below give the results-to-TSI conversions for the water quality data ranges normally seen in the CLMP. The formulas for this conversion can be found in the CLMP manual: https://micorps.net/wp-content/uploads/sites/63/2019/06/CLMP-Manual-2019update.pdf


Phosphorus	
(ppb)	TSI Value
<5	<27
6	30
8	34
10	37
12	40
15	43
18	46
21	48
24	50
32	54
36	56
42	58
48	60
>50	>61

Average

Secchi Depth	
(ft)	TSI Value
>30	<28
25	31
20	34
15	
12	
10	
7.5	48
6	52
4	57
<3	>61

Chlorophyll-a	
(ppb)	TSI Value
<1	<31
2	37
3	41
4	44
6	48
8	51
12	55
16	58
22	61
>22	>61

TSI for Birch Lake in 2019				
Average	32			
Secchi Disk	37			
Summer TP	<27			
Chlorophyll-a	<31			



Oligotrophic: Generally deep and clear lakes with little aquatic plant or algae growth. These lakes maintain sufficient dissolved oxygen in the cool, deep-bottom waters during late summer to support cold water fish, such as trout and whitefish.

Mesotrophic: Lakes that fall between oligotrophic and eutrophic. Mid-ranged amounts of nutrients.

Eutrophic: Highly productive eutrophic lakes are generally shallow, turbid, and support abundant aquatic plant growth. In deep eutrophic lakes, the cool bottom waters usually contain little or no dissolved oxygen. Therefore, these lakes can only support warm water fish, such as bass and pike.

Hypereutrophic: A specialized category of euthrophic lakes. These lakes exhibit extremely high productivity, such as nuisance algae and weed growth.

Birch Lake, Cass County 2015 Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch Results



The Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch was conducted on Birch Lake in 2015.

This survey involves sampling at multiple locations around the lake to detect new invaders, and document the extent of known invaders. While notes on other plant species may be recorded during the survey, the effort focuses on four highly invasive species: Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), starry stonewort (*Nitellopsis obtusa*), curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*), and Hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*).

The table below summarizes the results of the 2015 Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch on Birch Lake.

Birch Lake, Cass County

2015 Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch Results

Survey Date: June 17

<u>Species</u>	<u>Status</u>	Comments
Eurasian watermilfoil	FOUND	Collected at 2 sites
Starry stonewort	not found	
Curly-leaf pondweed	FOUND	Collected at 2 sites
Hydrilla	not found	

Visit the MiCorps Data Exchange (www.micorps.net) or contact the lead volunteer on your lake for more details on the survey, including sampling locations, maps, and abundance information, and for information on past surveys.


Birch Lake, Cass County 2017 Score the Shore Results



The Score the Shore Habitat Assessment was conducted on Birch Lake in 2017.

This assessment involves rating 1000 foot sections of shoreline for aquatic vegetation, shoreline vegetation, erosion, and erosion control practices (like sea walls). Each shoreline section is given three scores ranging from 0-100 for the categories of Littoral, Riparian, and Erosion Management. The three scores are averaged to produce a average section score. Then a total score is given to the entire lake by averaging all of the average section scores. A score of 0 indicates a shoreline that has been extremely disturbed by human impacts and no natural shoreline remains. A score of 100 indicates a shoreline that is nearly pristine.

How does your lake compare to others in the program?



Birch Lake:		
Number of Sections:	16	
Number of Structures:	349	
Structure Density:	21.8	
Final Score:	49.9	

All 43 Participating Lakes from 2015-2017:		
Avg. Number of Sections:	16.3	
Avg. Number of Structures:	248.5	
Avg. Structure Density:	15.2	
Avg. Final Score:	70.5	

Analysis specific to Birch Lake:


Overall, the lakeshore habitat of Birch Lake is below average when compared to the other lakes in the program. All of the lake sections score poor or fair (9 poor, 7 fair).


This Lake scored best in the littoral score (with an average of 69), meaning that (in general) erosion was low and aquatic vegetation was present.


The riparian zone and erosion control scores were approximately the same (scoring an average of 46 and 45).


Reduce the amount of mowed grass and increase the amount of unmowed native vegetation along the lakeshore to boost the riparian zone aspect of the shoreline habitat.


To improve the erosion control score, lake residents need to remove seawalls and riprapped slopes and replace them with a natural shoreline like those seen at www.mishorelinepartnership.org.













Name: Birch Lake
County: Cass
Site ID: 140187
Date: 5/19/2019

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	21.4	11.5
5	21.2	11.6
10	20.5	11.5
15	20.3	11.5
17.5	19.7	10.8
20	17.5	14.7
22.5	15.2	15.4
25	13.6	15.4
27.5	12.3	15.1
30	11	14.5
32.5	10.1	13.9
35	9.3	13.3
37.5	8.6	12.8
40	7.8	11.8
42.5	7.3	11.2
45	6.9	9.6
50	6.4	8.4
55	6.2	7.5
60	6	6.8
65	5.8	6.1
70	5.7	5.3
75	5.6	5.1
80	5.5	4.4




Name: Birch Lake
County: Cass
Site ID: 140187
Date: 5/28/2019

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	19.5	11.8
5	19.5	11.9
10	18.8	12.6
15	17.1	13.9
17.5	15.4	15.1
20	14.2	16.2
22.5	13.4	16.3
25	12.2	16.6
27.5	11.1	16
30	10.3	15.5
32.5	9.6	15.1
35	9.3	14.9
37.5	8.9	14.8
40		14
42.5	7.7	13.1
45	7.2	12.7
50	6.6	11.1
55	6.4	10.5
60	5.9	9.6
65	5.8	9.2
70	5.5	8.2
75	5.4	7.9
80	5.4	7.6




Name: Birch Lake
County: Cass
Site ID: 140187
Date: 7/11/2019

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	27.6	8.5
5	27.6	8.5
10	27.5	8.6
15	25.6	11.3
17.5	23.5	11.6
20	20.7	11.8
22.5	17.4	12
25	15.3	11.9
27.5	13.1	11.2
30	11.8	10.6
32.5	10.9	9.1
35	10.1	8.8
37.5	9.2	8.6
40	8.1	7.5
42.5	7.6	6.7
45	7.1	6
50	6.7	4.8
55	6.4	4.3
60	6.2	4.1
65	6	3.2
70	5.9	2.7
75	5.6	2
80	5.5	1.1




Name: Birch Lake
County: Cass
Site ID: 140187
Date: 8/19/2019

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	27.2	9.3
5	27	9.4
10	26.5	9.8
15	25.7	9.5
17.5	22	9.8
20	18.5	10.5
22.5	16.1	10.3
25	13.8	9.8
27.5	12.4	8.6
30	11.2	7.6
32.5	10.2	7.1
35	9.3	6.9
37.5	8.5	6.3
40	7.8	5.2
42.5	7.2	4.1
45	6.7	3
50	6.4	2.4
55	6.1	1.5
60	5.9	0.8
65	5.7	0.5
70	5.6	0.3
75	5.5	0.3
80	5.5	0.3



Name: Birch Lake
County: Cass
Site ID: 140187
Date: 9/5/2019

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	22.5	8.5
5	22.5	8.4
10	22.5	8.5
15	22.5	8.5
17.5	22.5	8.6
20	22.5	8.5
22.5	22.3	8.5
25	18.8	9.8
27.5	14.9	8
30	13.1	4.8
32.5	11.6	3.4
35	10.6	3.7
37.5	9.6	3.2
40	8.7	2.2
42.5	8.2	1.8
45	7.6	1.4
50	6.9	0.7
55	6.4	0.4
60	6.1	0.4
65	6	0.3
70	5.8	0.3
75	5.7	0.3
80	5.6	0.3



Name: Birch Lake County: Cass Site ID: 140187 Date: 9/20/2019

70

75

80

Depth (ft) Temp.(C) D.O. (mg/L) 9.8 23.8 5 23.2 10.1 10 23 10.3 15 10.3 22.9 17.5 22.9 10.2 20 22.5 10.2 22.5 21.7 10.3 25 19.6 10.9 27.5 9.1 16.5 30 6.1 13.5 32.5 11.8 4.2 35 10.9 3.2 37.5 2.7 10 40 1.4 9 42.5 8.4 1 45 7.9 0.6 50 6.9 0.4 55 6.5 0.3 60 6.2 0.3 65 6 0.2

5.8

5.7

5.7

0.2 0.2

0.2

