

# 2022 Data Report for

### Flint Park Lake, Genesee County

Site ID: 250572

43.0578°N, 83.7195°W

The CLMP is brought to you by:











### **About this report:**

This report is a summary of the data that have been collected through the Cooperative Lakes Monitoring Program. The contents have been customized for your lake. The first page is a summary of the Trophic Status Indicators of your lake (Secchi Disk Transparency, Chlorophyll-a, Spring Total Phosphorus, and Summer Total Phosphorus). Where data are available, they have been summarized for the most recent field season, five years prior to the most recent field season, and since the first year your lake has been enrolled in the program.

If you did not take 8 or more Secchi disk measurements or 4 or more chlorophyll measurements, there will not be summary data calculated for these parameters. These numbers of measurements are required to ensure that the results are indicative of overall summer conditions.

If you enrolled in Dissolved Oxygen/Temperature, the summary page will have a graph of one of the profiles taken during the late summer (typically August or September). If your lake stratifies, we will use a graph showing the earliest time of stratification, because identifying the timing of this condition and the depth at which it occurs is typically the most important use of dissolved oxygen measurements.

The back of the summary page will be an explanation of the Trophic Status Index and where your lake fits on that scale.

The rest of the report will be aquatic plant summaries, Score the Shore results, and larger graphs, including all Dissolved Oxygen/Temperature Profiles that you recorded. For Secchi Disk, Chlorophyll, and Phosphorus parameters, you need to have two years of data for a graph to make logical sense. Therefore if this is the first year you have enrolled in the CLMP, you will not receive a graph for these parameters.

Remember that some lakes see a lot of fluctuation in these parameters from year to year. Until you have eight years worth of data, consider all trends to be preliminary.

To learn more about the CLMP monitoring parameters or get definitions to unknown terms, check out the CLMP Manual, found at: https://micorps.net/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CLMP-Manual-2019update2\_2021.pdf

### Thank you!

The CLMP leadership team would like to thank you for all of your efforts over the past year. The CLMP would not exist without dedicated and hardworking volunteers!

The CLMP Leadership Team is made of: Jo Latimore, Erick Elgin, Jean Roth, Tamara Lipsey, Mike Gallagher, Melissa DeSimone, and Paul Steen

### Questions?

If you have questions on this report or believe that the tabulated data for your lake in this report are in error please contact:


Paul Steen (psteen@hrwc.org), CLMP Data Analyst

## Flint Park Lake, Genesee County 2022 CLMP Results



### Secchi Disk Transparency (feet)

Year	# Readings	Min	Max	Avg	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2022	8	3.0	18.0	6.6	5.0	50
2021 2022 All	9	1.5	8.0	6.6	2.3	58
CLMP Lakes	3178	1.0	63.0	11.6	2.5	43



### Chlorophyll-a (parts per billion)

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Med	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2022 2022 All CLMP	5	<1.0	24.0	12.0	8.4	55
Lakes	687	< 1.0	43.0	3.7	5.3	43


No graph: Not enough data

### Spring Phosphorus (parts per billion)

Flint Park Lake does not have spring total phosphorus data available. Consider enrolling in this parameter next year. Phosphorus is one of several essential nutrients that algae need to grow and reproduce. An increase in phosphorus over time is a measure of nutrient enrichment in a lake. A surface water sample taken in the spring, shortly after spring turnover, will be a representative sample for estimating the total amount of phosphorus in the lake.


### **Summer Phosphorus (parts per billion)**

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Avg	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2022	1	55.0	55.0	55.0	NA	62
2021 2022 All CLMP	1	120.0	120.0	120.0		73
Lakes	234	<= 3	150.0	17.4	15.3	45



### **Dissolved Oxygen and Temp Profile**





#### **Summary**

Average TSI	2022	2021
Flint Park Lake	56	66
All CLMP Lakes	44	42

With an average TSI score of 56 based on 2022 Secchi transparency and summer total phosphorus data, this lake is rated as a eutrophic lake.

Due to the high nutrient level, the lake loses dissolved oxygen in the bottom waters in the spring and this condition persists through the whole monitoring season.

There is too little data to assess long term trends. CLMP recommends eight years of consistent monitoring in order to develop a strong data baseline. However, given this lake's eutrophic status, residents should be encouraged to take measures to reduce nutrient input into this lake, including minimizing the use of fertilizers and having their septic fields examined, if applicable.

<sup>\* =</sup> Minimum # samples not met for average/median/TSI value

<sup>&</sup>lt;1.0 = Chlorophyll-a: Sample value is less than limit of quantification (<1 ppb).

### **Trophic Status Index Explained**

In 1977, limnologist Dr. Robert Carlson developed a numerical scale (0-100) where the numbers indicate the level of nutrient enrichment. Using the proper equations, we can convert results from Summer Total Phosphorus, Secchi Depth, and Chlorophyll-a to this Trophic Status Index (TSI). The TSI numbers are furthermore grouped into general categories (oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic), to quickly give us a way to understand the general nutrient level of any lake.


The tables below give the results-to-TSI conversions for the water quality data ranges normally seen in the CLMP. The formulas for this conversion can be found in the CLMP manual (link is on page 2 of this report).

Phosphorus	
(ppb)	TSI Value
<5	<27
6	30
8	34
10	37
12	40
15	43
18	46
21	48
24	50
32	54
36	56
42	58
48	60
>50	>61

Secchi Depth	
(ft)	TSI Value
>30	<28
25	31
20	34
15	
12	42
10	
7.5	48
6	52
4	57
<3	>61

Chlorophyll-a	
(ppb)	TSI Value
<1	<31
2	37
3	41
4	
6	48
8	51
12	55
16	58
22	61
>22	>61

TSI for Flint Park Lake in 2022			
Average	56		
Secchi Disk	50		
Summer TP	62		
Chlorophyll-a	55		



**Oligotrophic:** Generally deep and clear lakes with little aquatic plant or algae growth. These lakes maintain sufficient dissolved oxygen in the cool, deep-bottom waters during late summer to support cold water fish, such as trout and whitefish.

Mesotrophic: Lakes that fall between oligotrophic and eutrophic. Mid-ranged amounts of nutrients.

**Eutrophic:** Highly productive eutrophic lakes are generally shallow, turbid, and support abundant aquatic plant growth. In deep eutrophic lakes, the cool bottom waters usually contain little or no dissolved oxygen. Therefore, these lakes can only support warm water fish, such as bass and pike.

**Hypereutrophic:** A specialized category of euthrophic lakes. These lakes exhibit extremely high productivity, such as nuisance algae and weed growth.

Site ID: 250572

# Flint Park Lake, Genesee County 2022 CLMP Aquatic Plant Results



Flint Park Lake does not have aquatic plant data available for 2022. Consider enrolling in an aquatic plant parameter next year.

#### Why is monitoring aquatic plants important?

A major component of the plant community in lakes is the large, leafy, rooted plants. Compared to the microscopic algae the rooted plants are large. Sometimes they are collectively called the "macrophytes" ("macro" meaning large and "phyte" meaning plant). These macrophytes are the plants that people sometimes complain about and refer to as lake weeds.

Far from being weeds, macrophytes or rooted aquatic plants are a natural and essential part of the lake, just as grasses, shrubs and trees are a natural part of the land. Their roots are a fabric for holding sediments in place, reducing erosion and maintaining bottom stability. They provide habitat for fish, including structure for food organisms, nursery areas, foraging and predator avoidance. Waterfowl, shore birds and aquatic mammals use plants to forage on and within, and as nesting materials and cover.

Though plants are important to the lake, overabundant plants can negatively affect fish populations, fishing and other recreational activities. Rooted plant populations increase in abundance as nutrient concentrations increase in the lake. As lakes become more eutrophic rooted plant populations increase. They are rarely a problem in oligotrophic lakes, only occasionally a problem in mesotrophic lakes, sometimes a problem in eutrophic lakes, and often a problem in hypereutrophic lakes.

However, sometimes a lake is invaded by an aquatic plant species that is not native to Michigan. In these cases, even nutrient poor oligotrophic lakes can be threatened. Some of these exotic plants, like Curly-leaf Pondweed, Eurasian Milfoil, Starry Stonewort, and Hydrilla can be extremely disruptive to the lake's ecosystem and recreational activities.

To avoid a takeover by exotic plants, it is necessary to use Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies: monitoring, early detection, rapid response, maintenance control, and preventive management. For more information on these strategies, check out Integrated Pest Management for Nuisance Exotics in Michigan Inland Lakes (MSU Extension Water Quality Publication WQ-56, available at https://micorps.net/lake-monitoring/clmp-documents/)

The CLMP offers two parameters on aquatic plants. In the Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch, volunteers concentrate on monitoring and early detection of exotic invasive plants only. In Aquatic Plant Identification and Mapping, volunteers identify all native and non-native plants. In both parameters, volunteers create lake maps or use digital tools to georeference where the plants are found.


## Flint Park Lake, Genesee County 2021 Score the Shore Results



The Score the Shore Habitat Assessment was conducted on Flint Park Lake in 2021.

This assessment involves rating 1000 foot sections of shoreline for aquatic vegetation, shoreline vegetation, erosion, and erosion control practices (like sea walls). Each shoreline section is given three scores ranging from 0-100 for the categories of Littoral, Riparian, and Erosion Management. The three scores are averaged to produce a average section score. Then a total score is given to the entire lake by averaging all of the average section scores. A score of 0 indicates a shoreline that has been extremely disturbed by human impacts and no natural shoreline remains. A score of 100 indicates a shoreline that is nearly pristine.

#### How does your lake compare to others in the program?



### Analysis specific to Flint Park Lake:

Overall, the lakeshore habitat of Flint Park Lake is doing well and scored higher than average when compared to other lakes in the program. All of the 1000 foot sections scored either Fair or Good: 2 fair, and 2 good. That being said, compared to other lakes in the program with such a low level of development, Flint Lake Park shows signs of habitat disturbance and there is certainly room for improvement on this lake.


The riparian zone was the weak point in the lake's score (scoring an average of 56). Reduce the amount of mowed grass and increase the amount of unmowed native vegetation along the lakeshore to boost this aspect of the shoreline habitat. You can get plenty of ideas for improving shoreline health from the Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership (https://www.mishorelinepartnership.org/).

The other two categories (Littoral and Erosion Control) have high scores and the emphasis on these features should be maintenance and prevention of disturbance.


Flint Park Lake	
Number of Sections:	4
Number of Structures:	4
Structure Density:	1
Final Score:	78


All 78 Participating Lakes from 2015-2021:		
Avg. Number of Sections:	16	
Avg. Number of Structures:	214	
Avg. Structure Density:	12.2	
Avg. Final Score: 7		

Note about graph to the left: The dotted line sets your average expectation of the score of your lake. If your lake is lower than the dotted line, then your shoreline health is lower than average compared to *lakes with similar amount of shoreline development*. And vice-versa in regards to a lake above the dotted line.



### COOPERATIVE LAKES MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMER MEAN TRANSPARENCY






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 5/30/2022

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	23	7.99
1	23	7.99
1	23	7.99
1	23	7.99
5	22.5	8.25
5	22.5	8.25
5	22.5	8.25
5	22.5	8.25
10	17.8	0.9
10	17.8	0.9
10	17.8	0.9
10	17.8	0.9
15	11.2	0.28
15	11.2	0.28
15	11.2	0.28
15	11.2	0.28
17.5	10	0.11
17.5	10	0.11
17.5	10	0.11
17.5	10	0.11






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 5/19/2022

#### Depth (ft) Temp.(C) D.O. (mg/L) 7.25 21.2 21.2 7.25 21.2 7.25 1 21.2 7.25 20.3 6.4 5 5 20.3 6.4 5 20.3 6.4 5 20.3 6.4 3.85 10 16.7 10 3.85 16.7 10 16.7 3.85 10 16.7 3.85 0.07 15 10.5 0.07 15 10.5 15 10.5 0.07 15 10.5 0.07 17.5 9.2 0.11 17.5 9.2 0.11 17.5 9.2 0.11 17.5 9.2 0.11






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 6/19/2022

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	25.5	11.5
5	23.6	12.5
10	20.4	1.14
15	13.7	0.23
17.5	10.4	0.32
20	9	0.16
22.5	8.4	0.11
25	8.2	0.09






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 7/6/2022

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	25.5	7.6
1	25.5	7.6
1	25.5	7.6
1	25.5	7.6
5	25.3	3.88
5	25.3	3.88
5	25.3	3.88
5	25.3	3.88
10	23.2	0.23
10	23.2	0.23
10	23.2	0.23
10	23.2	0.23
15	15.7	0.08
15	15.7	0.08
15	15.7	0.08
15	15.7	0.08
17.5	12	0.05
17.5	12	0.05
17.5	12	0.05
17.5	12	0.05






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 7/19/2022

#### Depth (ft) Temp.(C) D.O. (mg/L) 27.2 7.7 27.2 7.7 27.2 7.7 27.2 7.7 1 27.2 7.7 1 26.2 7.35 5 26.2 7.35 5 5 26.2 7.35 26.2 7.35 5 7.35 5 26.2 10 23.2 0.45 10 23.2 0.45 10 23.2 0.45 23.2 10 0.45 10 23.2 0.45 15 15.5 0.08 15 0.08 15.5 15 15.5 0.08 15 0.08 15.5 15 15.5 0.08






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 8/3/2022

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	24.3	5.3
5	24.7	4.48
10	23.9	4.73
15	22.2	3.21
17.5	20.4	3.01
20	19.3	2.89






County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 8/19/2022

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	25	7.55
5	24.5	6.92
10	23.4	1.67
15	22.5	2.78
17.5	22.5	1.45
20	22.4	0.81





County: Genesee Site ID: 250572 Date: 9/17/2022

Depth (ft)	Temp.(C)	D.O. (mg/L)
1	23	8.16
1	23	8.16
1	23	8.16
1	23	8.16
5	23.8	7.75
5	23.8	7.75
5	23.8	7.75
5	23.8	7.75
10	23.3	1.5
10	23.3	1.5
10	23.3	1.5
10	23.3	1.5
15	21.2	0.4
15	21.2	0.4
15	21.2	0.4
15	21.2	0.4
17.5	20.2	0.12
17.5	20.2	0.12
17.5	20.2	0.12
17.5	20.2	0.12



