



# 2017 Data Report for Second Fortune Lake, Iron County

Site ID: 360177

46.077232°N, 88.426461°W

The CLMP is brought to you by:



**About this report:**

This report is a summary of the data that have been collected through the Cooperative Lakes Monitoring Program. The contents have been customized for your lake. The first page is a summary of the Trophic Status Indicators of your lake (Secchi Disk Transparency, Chlorophyll-a, Spring Total Phosphorus, and Summer Total Phosphorus). Where data are available, they have been summarized for the most recent field season, five years prior to the most recent field season, and since the first year your lake has been enrolled in the program.

If you did not take 8 or more Secchi disk measurements or 4 or more chlorophyll measurements, there will not be summary data calculated for these parameters. These numbers of measurements are required to ensure that the results are indicative of overall summer conditions.

If you enrolled in Dissolved Oxygen/Temperature, the summary page will have a graph of one of the profiles taken during the late summer (typically August or September). If your lake stratifies, we will use a graph showing the earliest time of stratification, because identifying the timing of this condition and the depth at which it occurs is typically the most important use of dissolved oxygen measurements.

The back of the summary page will be an explanation of the Trophic Status Index and where your lake fits on that scale.

The rest of the report will be aquatic plant summaries, Score the Shore results, and larger graphs, including all Dissolved Oxygen/Temperature Profiles that you recorded. For Secchi Disk, Chlorophyll, and Phosphorus parameters, you need to have two years of data for a graph to make logical sense. Therefore if this is the first year you have enrolled in the CLMP, you will not receive a graph for these parameters.

Remember that some lakes see a lot of fluctuation in these parameters from year to year. Until you have eight years worth of data, consider all trends to be preliminary.

To learn more about the CLMP monitoring parameters or get definitions to unknown terms, check out the CLMP Manual, found at: <https://micorps.net/wp-content/uploads/CLMP-Manual.pdf>

**Thank you!**

The CLMP leadership team would like to thank you for all of your efforts over the past year. The CLMP would not exist without dedicated and hardworking volunteers!

The CLMP Leadership Team is made of: Marcy Knoll Wilmes, Jean Roth, Jo Latimore, Paul Steen, Scott Brown, Laura Kaminski, and Michele Leduc-Lapierre

**Questions?**

If you have questions on this report or believe that the tabulated data for your lake in this report are in error please contact:

**Paul Steen (psteen@hrwc.org), MiCorps Program Manager**

# Second Fortune Lake, Iron County 2017 CLMP Results



## Secchi Disk Transparency (feet)

Year	# Readings	Min	Max	Average	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2017	10	17.0	38.0	25.4	8.1	30
2017 All CLMP Lakes	2949	2.0	52.0	12.3	2.7	42

No graph: Not enough data

## Chlorophyll-a (parts per billion)

Second Fortune Lake does not have Chlorophyll-a data available. Consider enrolling in this parameter next year. Chlorophyll-a is the green photosynthetic pigment in the cells of plants. The amount of algae in a lake can be estimated by measuring the chlorophyll-a concentration in the water. As an algal productivity indicator, chlorophyll-a is used to determine the trophic status of a lake.

## Spring Phosphorus (parts per billion)

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Average	Std. Dev
2017	1	<5 T	<5 T	<5 T	NA
2017 All CLMP Lakes	188	<= 3	120	11.6	12.7

No graph: Not enough data

## Summer Phosphorus (parts per billion)

Year	# Samples	Min	Max	Average	Std. Dev	Carlson TSI
2017	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	NA	27
2017 All CLMP Lakes	208	<= 3	52.0	11.1	8.4	39

No graph: Not enough data

## Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Profile

This lake does not have recent (within 5 years) dissolved oxygen/water temperature data available. Consider enrolling in this parameter next year. Fish, insects, mollusks, and crustaceans need dissolved oxygen to live in water. By late summer, many lakes stratify, with cold anoxic water on the bottom and warm, oxygen rich water on the surface. Anoxic (oxygen-depleted) water occurring too close to the surface is a sign of nutrient enrichment. Understanding the pattern of dissolved oxygen and water temperature in a lake is important for assessing nutrient problems as well as the health of the biological community.

## Summary

Average TSI	2017
Second Fortune Lake	29
All CLMP Lakes	40

With an average TSI score of 29 based on 2017 Secchi transparency and summer total phosphorus data, this lake is rated as an oligotrophic lake.

Welcome to the CLMP! The longer you stay in the program and the more parameters you monitor, the more interesting this report will become. Once you have eight years of data there will be enough history to analyze the long-term trend.

\* = No sample received W= Value is less than the detection limit (<3 ppb) T= Value reported is less than the reporting limit (5 ppb). Result is estimated.  
<1.0 = Chlorophyll-a: Sample value is less than limit of quantification (<1 ppb).

# Trophic Status Index Explained

In 1977, limnologist Dr. Robert Carlson developed a numerical scale (0-100) where the numbers indicate the level of nutrient enrichment. Using the proper equations, we can convert results from Summer Total Phosphorus, Secchi Depth, and Chlorophyll-a to this Trophic Status Index (TSI). The TSI numbers are furthermore grouped into general categories (oligotrophic, mesotrophic, eutrophic, and hypereutrophic), to quickly give us a way to understand the general nutrient level of any lake.


The tables below give the results-to-TSI conversions for the water quality data ranges normally seen in the CLMP. The formulas for this conversion can be found in the CLMP manual (<https://micorps.net/wp-content/uploads/CLMP-Manual.pdf>).

Phosphorus (ppb)	TSI Value
<5	<27
6	30
8	34
10	37
12	40
15	43
18	46
21	48
24	50
32	54
36	56
42	58
48	60
>50	>61

Secchi Depth (ft)	TSI Value
>30	<28
25	31
20	34
15	38
12	42
10	44
7.5	48
6	52
4	57
<3	>61

Chlorophyll-a (ppb)	TSI Value
<1	<31
2	37
3	41
4	44
6	48
8	51
12	55
16	58
22	61
>22	>61

TSI for Second Fortune Lake in 2017	
Average	29
Secchi Disk	30
Summer TP	27
Chlorophyll-a	



**Oligotrophic:** Generally deep and clear lakes with little aquatic plant or algae growth. These lakes maintain sufficient dissolved oxygen in the cool, deep-bottom waters during late summer to support cold water fish, such as trout and whitefish.

**Mesotrophic:** Lakes that fall between oligotrophic and eutrophic. Mid-ranged amounts of nutrients.

**Eutrophic:** Highly productive eutrophic lakes are generally shallow, turbid, and support abundant aquatic plant growth. In deep eutrophic lakes, the cool bottom waters usually contain little or no dissolved oxygen. Therefore, these lakes can only support warm water fish, such as bass and pike.

**Hypereutrophic:** A specialized category of eutrophic lakes. These lakes exhibit extremely high productivity, such as nuisance algae and weed growth.

# Second Fortune Lake, Iron County 2017 Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch Results



The Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch was conducted on Second Fortune Lake in 2017.

This survey involves sampling at multiple locations around the lake to detect new invaders, and document the extent of known invaders. While notes on other plant species may be recorded during the survey, the effort focuses on four highly invasive species: Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), starry stonewort (*Nitellopsis obtusa*), curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*), and Hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*).

The table below summarizes the results of the 2017 Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch on Second Fortune Lake.

<b>Second Fortune Lake, Iron County</b> <b>2017 Exotic Aquatic Plant Watch Results</b> <b>Survey Date(s): August 24, 2017</b>		
<u>Species</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Eurasian watermilfoil	not found	
Starry stonewort	not found	
Curly-leaf pondweed	not found	
Hydrilla	not found	

Visit the MiCorps Data Exchange ([www.micorps.net](http://www.micorps.net)) or contact the lead volunteer on your lake for more details on the survey, including sampling locations, maps, and abundance information, and for information on past surveys.


# Second Fortune Lake, Iron County 2017 Score the Shore Results



The Score the Shore Habitat Assessment was conducted on Second Fortune Lake in [YEAR].

This assessment involves rating 1000 foot sections of shoreline for aquatic vegetation, shoreline vegetation, erosion, and erosion control practices (like sea walls). Each shoreline section is given three scores ranging from 0-100 for the categories of Littoral, Riparian, and Erosion Management. The three scores are averaged to produce a average section score. Then a total score is given to the entire lake by averaging all of the average section scores. A score of 0 indicates a shoreline that has been extremely disturbed by human impacts and no natural shoreline remains. A score of 100 indicates a shoreline that is nearly pristine.

### How does your lake compare to others in the program?



<b>Second Fortune Lake:</b>	
Number of Sections:	10
Number of Structures:	53
Structure Density:	5.3
Final Score:	89

<b>All 31 Participating Lakes from 2015-2017:</b>	
Avg. Number of Sections:	16
Avg. Number of Structures:	233
Avg. Structure Density:	14.6
Avg. Final Score:	66

There is a very tight relationship between Final Score and Structure Density. It will be interesting to see if and how this changes as more lakes go through this scoring process.

### Analysis specific to Second Fortune Lake:

Overall, the lakeshore habitat of Second Fortune Lake is doing well and scored higher than average when compared to other lakes in the program. All of the 1000 foot sections scored either Fair or Good: 1 fair, and 10 good.

The lake sections scored high for erosion control meaning that there are a low amount of sea walls, rock rip-rap, and other shoreline erosion structures, and scored high in the riparian score, meaning that (in general) there were plentiful non-mowed areas providing a buffer between houses and roads and the lake.

The littoral zone was the weak point in Second Fortune Lake's habitat (scoring an average of 77). A score of 77 is good, but if residents of want to improve the overall shoreline quality, this is the component to concentrate on. To improve the littoral zone score, leave woody debris in place and allow native aquatic vegetation to grow in the shallow waters.

